UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

ANGELA M. SPENCE,

Case No. 3:14-cv-209

Plaintiff,

Judge Thomas M. Rose

-V-

CHAMPAIGN COUNTY, OHIO AUDITOR,

Defendant.

ENTRY AND ORDER GRANTING SPENCE'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND (Doc. #5); ORDERING SPENCE TO FILE HER FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND DENYING CHAMPAIGN COUNTY'S MOTION TO DISMISS (Doc. #3) WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO REFILING

The Complaint in this case was filed on June 24, 2014, by Plaintiff Angela M. Spence ("Spence"). (Doc. #1.) The Champaign County, Ohio Auditor was the only Defendant named in Spence's original Complaint.

On August 21, 2014, the Champaign County, Ohio Auditor filed a Motion To Dismiss. (Doc. #3.) Spence responded to this Motion (doc. #6) and, on the same date, filed a Motion for Leave To File First Amended Complaint (doc. #5). On September 29, 2014, the Champaign County, Ohio Auditor filed a Reply to its Motion To Dismiss. (Doc. #8.) Therein, the Champaign County, Ohio Auditor refers to Spence's Motion To Amend so the Court considers the Reply to also be a response to the Motion To Amend.

In general, leave to amend a complaint should be granted unless an amendment is "brought in bad faith, for dilatory purposes, results in undue delay or prejudice to the opposing party or would be futile." *Carson v. U.S. Office of Special Counsel*, 633 F.3d 487, 495 (6th Cir. 2011) (quoting *Crawford v. Roane*, 53 F.3d 750, 753 (6th Cir. 1995)). In this case, the

Case: 3:14-cv-00209-TMR-SLO Doc #: 9 Filed: 10/03/14 Page: 2 of 2 PAGEID #: 41

Champaign County, Ohio Auditor does not argue that the proposed amended complaint is

brought in bad faith, for dilatory purposes or would result in undue delay or prejudice or that it

would be futile.

Therefore, Spence's Motion To Amend (Doc. #5) is GRANTED. Spence is ordered to

file her First Amended Complaint not later than 10 days following entry of this Order. Further,

because presumably a First Amended Complaint will be filed, the Champaign County, Ohio

Auditor's pending Motion To Dismiss is denied without prejudice to renewal.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Dayton, Ohio this Second Day of October, 2014.

s/Thomas M. Rose

THOMAS M. ROSE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record